muster roll workers and promotions in electrical and civil divisions/circles of the Department ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) The services of some eligible daily rated muster roll Malis were regularised in 1985. Subsequently it was lound that this action was not in order. Sanction for creation of 11.304 additional posts on the W.C. establishment has been sought from Finance Ministry for regularisation of services of all the muster roll workers of CPWD including the Malis under the Directorate of Horticulture.

(b) Some of the Work-charged staff had been promoted against the vacancies available. The promotions of these workers will also be regularised after receipt of sanction of competent authority for creation of additional posts.

(c) and (d) No, Sir. These appointments and promotions were not found to be in order and in order to regularise this action additional posts have been asked for from Finance Ministry.

Revision of Seniority List of C.P.W.D. Staff

&04. SHRI N. E. BALARAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the seniority Lists of work-c'harged staff and regular transferred staff of CPWD are to be revised as on 1st January every year;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the seniority lists of Horticulture work-charged staff of Delhi; Electrical and Civil work-charged staff of Delhi; and Civil and electrical regular transferred staff of Region 'A' have not been revised and circulated for the last many years; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor and what steps are being taken to revise the above mentioned lists as on the 1st January, 1991 and circulate the same to all concerned including the recognised Unions?

THE MINISTER OE STATE INTHE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOP MENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM). (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the Sabha.

Land to Private Organisation

805. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the caretaker Government had allotted 100 acres of public land to a certain private organisation near Khanpur, Delhi, in viola'ion. of the rules and despite the fact that land had been leased till 1994 to the Delhi State Mineral Development Corporation; and

(b) if so, the circumstances under which favour was shown to the private organisation in alloting the public land?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) A request was made by a registered Society named, "Campaign for Peoples Rights|JanAdhikar Abhiyan" for the allotment of 100 to 200 acres of land in village Deoli for setting up a Memorial Park (in the shape of Forest) to be named as "Acharya Narendra Dev Yadgar Van". After considering the matter, the Society was proivided only access to the land for planting trees and looking after them, but no formal orders were issued. It is true that the land in question has been under lease to the Delhi Stare Mineral Development Corporation for carrying oul mining operation, but the Corporation was not carrying any mining work sine? long and the land was under an imminent threat of encroachement. Further, certain involved issues pertaining to this land are *sub judice* in the High Court.